# Wrap-Up: <br> Unsupervised Learning, Summary, AMA 

LING 571 - Deep Processing Methods in NLP

Shane Steinert-Threlkeld

## Announcements

- Let Saiya know about free extension if you haven't used and want to retroactively apply it
- HW9: f-measures output in alphabetical order of the class labels


## Pragmatics of the Week

Over three decades later, I walked up to a counter in Antalya Airport to tell a disbelieving airline employee that our flight would shortly be canceled because the tanks being reported in the streets of Istanbul meant that a coup attempt was under way.*_ It
${ }_{-}^{*}$ A previous version of this article misstated the amount of time between 1980 and 2016. It is over three decades, not two.

## Un-/Semi-supervised Learning in NLP

## A Roadblock to Deep Processing

- Deep processing of natural language data helps with:
- Information retrieval
- QA
- WSD
- Conversational AI
- But....


## Developing Deep Processing Systems

- Building a deep processing system requires lots of annotated data
- For evaluation
- For training an ML system


## A roadblock

- The following are cheap:
- Compute
- Text [the web!]
- The following are expensive:
- Human hours
- Programmers
- Data annotators


## Main Idea

- Leverage the huge amounts of text to learn useful representations
- "Fine tune" on a much smaller amount of task-specific data
- a.k.a. transfer learning


## Can we leverage the cheap resources?
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 Peters et. al (2018)- Prior vector-space embeddings have typically been derived:
- Context-independent distributions (CBOW; e.g. GloVe)
- CNNs over characters
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## Deep Contextualized Word Representations

 Peters et. al (2018)- NAACL 2018 Best Paper Award
- Embeddings from Language Models (ELMo)
- [aka the OG NLP Muppet]
- Rather than treat embeddings as bag of words
- Create embeddings by using sequential modeling (bi-LSTM)


## Deep Contextualized Word Representations

Peters et. al (2018)

- Comparison to GloVe:


## Source Nearest Neighbors

GloVe \begin{tabular}{ccc}

play \& | playing, game, games, played, players, plays, player, Play, |
| :---: |
| football, multiplayer | <br>

biLM \& \begin{tabular}{c}
Chico Ruiz made a <br>
spectacular play on <br>
Alusik's grounder...

 \& 

Kieffer, the only junior in the group, was commended for <br>
his ability to hit in the clutch, as well as his all-round <br>
excellent play.
\end{tabular} <br>

| Olivia De Havilland |
| :---: |
| signed to do a |
| Broadway play for |
| Garson... | \& | ...they were actors who had been handed fat roles in a |
| :---: |
| successful play, and had talent enough to fill the roles |
| competently, with nice understatement. | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## Deep Contextualized Word Representations

 Peters et. al (2018)- Intrinsic evaluation via WSD:

| Model | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| WordNet 1st Sense Baseline | 65.9 |
| Raganato et al(2017a) | 69.9 |
| Iacobacci et al.(2016) | 70.1 |
| CoVe, First Layer | 59.4 |
| CoVe, Second Layer | 64.7 |
| biLM, First layer | 67.4 |
| biLM, Second layer | 69.0 |

## Deep Contextualized Word Representations

## Peters et. al (2018)

- Used in place of other embeddings on multiple tasks:

| TASK | Previous SOTA |  | OUR <br> BASELINE BASELINE | ELMO + | INCREASE <br> (ABSOLUTE/ <br> RELATIVE) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SQuAD | Liu et al.(2017) | 84.4 | 81.1 | 85.8 | $4.7 / 24.9 \%$ |
| SNLI | Chen et al.(2017) | 88.6 | 88.0 | $88.7 \pm 0.17$ | $0.7 / 5.8 \%$ |
| SRL | He et al.(2017) | 81.7 | 81.4 | 84.6 | $3.2 / 17.2 \%$ |
| Coref | Lee et al.(2017) | 67.2 | 67.2 | 70.4 | $3.2 / 9.8 \%$ |
| NER | Peters et al.(2017) | $91.93 \pm 0.19$ | 90.15 | $92.22 \pm 0.10$ | $2.06 / 21 \%$ |
| SST-5 | McCann et al.(2017) | 53.7 | 51.4 | $54.7 \pm 0.5$ | $3.3 / 6.8 \%$ |

## BERT

Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers

Devlin et al 2018

## Transformers [+ Encoder]



# Vashwani et al 2017, <br> "Attention is All You Need" 
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## Transformers [+ Encoder]



# Vashwani et al 2017, "Attention is All You Need" 

The Annotated Transformer The Illustrated Transformer

## Bidirectional: Masked Language Modeling

- Main training task: masked language modeling (aka cloze task)
- Raw text: "Seattle is the capital of Washington and is the home of UW."
- $15 \%$ of tokens are masked* (*some subtleties), e.g.:
- Model input:
- "Seattle is the [MASK] of Washington and [MASK] the home of UW."
- Task: predict the tokens in the [MASK] positions.
- [Also trained with Next Sentence Prediction: given two sentences, did the second follow the first in the text?]


## Bidirectional



## Fine Tuning



## Initial Results

| System | MNLI- $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm})$ | QQP | QNLI | SST-2 | CoLA | STS-B | MRPC | RTE | Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 392 k | 363 k | 108 k | 67 k | 8.5 k | 5.7 k | 3.5 k | 2.5 k | - |
| Pre-OpenAI SOTA | $80.6 / 80.1$ | 66.1 | 82.3 | 93.2 | 35.0 | 81.0 | 86.0 | 61.7 | 74.0 |
| BiLSTM+ELMo+Attn | $76.4 / 76.1$ | 64.8 | 79.8 | 90.4 | 36.0 | 73.3 | 84.9 | 56.8 | 71.0 |
| OpenAI GPT | $82.1 / 81.4$ | 70.3 | 87.4 | 91.3 | 45.4 | 80.0 | 82.3 | 56.0 | 75.1 |
| BERT $_{\text {BASE }}$ | $84.6 / 83.4$ | 71.2 | 90.5 | 93.5 | 52.1 | 85.8 | 88.9 | 66.4 | 79.6 |
| BERT $_{\text {LARGE }}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7 / 8 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 1}$ |

## Major Application

## Google <br> SEARCH <br> <br> Understanding searches better than ever <br> <br> Understanding searches better than ever before

 before}Pandu Nayak
Google Fellow and Vice
President, Search
Published Oct 25, 2019

If there's one thing I've learned over the 15 years working on Google Search, it's that people's curiosity is endless. We see billions of searches every day, and 15 percent of those queries are ones we haven't seen before--so we've built ways to return results for queries we can't anticipate.

## Major Application



## Does BERT implicitly perform deep processing?

# What do you learn from context? Probing for SENTENCE STRUCTURE IN CONTEXTUALIZED WORD REPRESENTATIONS 

Ian Tenney, ${ }^{* 1}$ Patrick Xia, ${ }^{2}$ Berlin Chen, ${ }^{3}$ Alex Wang, ${ }^{4}$ Adam Poliak, ${ }^{2}$<br>R. Thomas McCoy, ${ }^{2}$ Najoung Kim, ${ }^{2}$ Benjamin Van Durme, ${ }^{2}$ Samuel R. Bowman, ${ }^{4}$<br>Dipanjan Das, ${ }^{1}$ and Ellie Pavlick ${ }^{1,5}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Google AI Language, ${ }^{2}$ Johns Hopkins University, ${ }^{3}$ Swarthmore College,<br>${ }^{4}$ New York University, ${ }^{5}$ Brown University

## Abstract

Contextualized representation models such as ELMo (Peters et al., 2018a) and BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) have recently achieved state-of-the-art results on a diverse array of downstream NLP tasks. Building on recent token-level probing work, we introduce a novel edge probing task design and construct a broad suite of sub-sentence tasks derived from the traditional structured NLP pipeline. We probe word-level contextual representations from four recent models and investigate how they encode sentence structure across a range of syntactic, semantic, local, and long-range phenomena. We find that existing models trained on language modeling and translation produce strong representations for syntactic phenomena, but only offer comparably small improvements on semantic tasks over a non-contextual baseline.

## Edge Probing Set-up



Labels

Binary classifiers

Span
representations

Contextual vectors

Input tokens

## Results

|  | CoVe |  |  |  | ELMo |  |  | GPT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lex. | Full | Abs. $\Delta$ | Lex. | Full | Abs. $\Delta$ | Lex. | cat | mix |
| Part-of-Speech | 85.7 | 94.0 | 8.4 | 90.4 | 96.7 | 6.3 | 88.2 | 94.9 | 95.0 |
| Constituents | 56.1 | 81.6 | 25.4 | 69.1 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 65.1 | 81.3 | 84.6 |
| Dependencies | 75.0 | 83.6 | 8.6 | 80.4 | 93.9 | 13.6 | 77.7 | 92.1 | 94.1 |
| Entities | 88.4 | 90.3 | 1.9 | 92.0 | 95.6 | 3.5 | 88.6 | 92.9 | 92.5 |
| SRL (all) | 59.7 | 80.4 | 20.7 | 74.1 | 90.1 | 16.0 | 67.7 | 86.0 | 89.7 |
| Core roles | 56.2 | 81.0 | 24.7 | 73.6 | 92.6 | 19.0 | 65.1 | 88.0 | 92.0 |
| Non-core roles | 67.7 | 78.8 | 11.1 | 75.4 | 84.1 | 8.8 | 73.9 | 81.3 | 84.1 |
| OntoNotes coref. | 72.9 | 79.2 | 6.3 | 75.3 | 84.0 | 8.7 | 71.8 | 83.6 | 86.3 |
| SPR1 | 73.7 | 77.1 | 3.4 | 80.1 | 84.8 | 4.7 | 79.2 | 83.5 | 83.1 |
| SPR2 | 76.6 | 80.2 | 3.6 | 82.1 | 83.1 | 1.0 | 82.2 | 83.8 | 83.5 |
| Winograd coref. | 52.1 | 54.3 | 2.2 | 54.3 | 53.5 | -0.8 | 51.7 | 52.6 | 53.8 |
| Rel. (SemEval) | 51.0 | 60.6 | 9.6 | 55.7 | 77.8 | 22.1 | 58.2 | 81.3 | 81.0 |
| Macro Average | 69.1 | 78.1 | 9.0 | 75.4 | 84.4 | 9.1 | 73.0 | 83.2 | 84.4 |
|  |  | BER | base |  |  |  | RT-lar |  |  |
|  |  | Scor |  | Abs. $\Delta$ |  | 1 Score |  | Abs. |  |
|  | Lex. | cat | mix | ELMo | Lex. | cat | mix | (base) | ELMo |
| Part-of-Speech | 88.4 | 97.0 | 96.7 | 0.0 | 88.1 | 96.5 | 96.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Constituents | 68.4 | 83.7 | 86.7 | 2.1 | 69.0 | 80.1 | 87.0 | 0.4 | 2.5 |
| Dependencies | 80.1 | 93.0 | 95.1 | 1.1 | 80.2 | 91.5 | 95.4 | 0.3 | 1.4 |
| Entities | 90.9 | 96.1 | 96.2 | 0.6 | 91.8 | 96.2 | 96.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| SRL (all) | 75.4 | 89.4 | 91.3 | 1.2 | 76.5 | 88.2 | 92.3 | 1.0 | 2.2 |
| Core roles | 74.9 | 91.4 | 93.6 | 1.0 | 76.3 | 89.9 | 94.6 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Non-core roles | 76.4 | 84.7 | 85.9 | 1.8 | 76.9 | 84.1 | 86.9 | 1.0 | 2.8 |
| OntoNotes coref. | 74.9 | 88.7 | 90.2 | 6.3 | 75.7 | 89.6 | 91.4 | 1.2 | 7.4 |
| SPR1 | 79.2 | 84.7 | 86.1 | 1.3 | 79.6 | 85.1 | 85.8 | -0.3 | 1.0 |
| SPR2 | 81.7 | 83.0 | 83.8 | 0.7 | 81.6 | 83.2 | 84.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| Winograd coref. | 54.3 | 53.6 | 54.9 | 1.4 | 53.0 | 53.8 | 61.4 | 6.5 | 7.8 |
| Rel. (SemEval) | 57.4 | 78.3 | 82.0 | 4.2 | 56.2 | 77.6 | 82.4 | 0.5 | 4.6 |
| Macro Average | 75.1 | 84.8 | 86.3 | 1.9 | 75.2 | 84.2 | 87.3 | 1.0 | 2.9 |

## Conclusion

- "in general, contextualized embeddings improve over their noncontextualized counterparts largely on syntactic tasks (e.g. constituent labeling) in comparison to semantic tasks (e.g. coreference), suggesting that these embeddings encode syntax more so than higher-level semantics"


# BERT Rediscovers the Classical NLP Pipeline 

Ian Tenney ${ }^{1}$ Dipanjan Das ${ }^{1}$ Ellie Pavlick ${ }^{1,2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Google Research ${ }^{2}$ Brown University<br>\{iftenney, dipanjand,epavlick\}@google.com


#### Abstract

\section*{Abstract}

Pre-trained text encoders have rapidly advanced the state of the art on many NLP tasks. We focus on one such model, BERT, and aim to quantify where linguistic information is captured within the network. We find that the model represents the steps of the traditional NLP pipeline in an interpretable and localizable way, and that the regions responsible for each step appear in the expected sequence: POS tagging, parsing, NER, semantic roles, then coreference. Qualitative analysis reveals that the model can and often does adjust this pipeline dynamically, revising lowerlevel decisions on the basis of disambiguating information from higher-level representations.


of the network directly, to assess whether there exist localizable regions associated with distinct types of linguistic decisions. Such work has produced evidence that deep language models can encode a range of syntactic and semantic information (e.g. Shi et al., 2016; Belinkov, 2018; Tenney et al., 2019), and that more complex structures are represented hierarchically in the higher layers of the model (Peters et al., 2018b; Blevins et al., 2018).

We build on this latter line of work, focusing on the BERT model (Devlin et al., 2019), and use a suite of probing tasks (Tenney et al., 2019) derived from the traditional NLP pipeline to quantify where specific types of linguistic information are


# A Structural Probe for Finding Syntax in Word Representations 

John Hewitt<br>Stanford University<br>johnhew@stanford.edu

Christopher D. Manning<br>Stanford University manning@stanford.edu

## Abstract

Recent work has improved our ability to detect linguistic knowledge in word repre sentations. However, current methods for sentaions. However, current methods for whether syntax trees are represed in the whether syntax trees are represented in the , which ealus a structural probe, which eva are enbeded a near The probe identifes linesentace The probe ich squed L2 distance encodes the ur we sur in the pare in hich 2 , 2 , one in whe sut in the parse tree. Using our probe, we show

In this work, we propose a structural probe, a imple model which tests whether syntax trees are consistently embedded in a linear transformation of a neural network's word representation space. Tree structure is embedded if the transformed space has the property that squared L2 distance between wo words' vectors corresponds to the number of edges between the words in the parse tree. To reconstruct edge directions, we hypothesize a linear ransformation under which the squared L2 norm corresponds to the depth of the word in the parse ree. Our probe uses supervision to find the transformations under which these properties are best approximated for each model. If such transfor-

## Hewitt and Manning 2019

## blog post

## "The chef who ran to the store was out of food."



The

## "The chef who ran to the store was out of food."



## "The chef who ran to the store was out of food."



## Results

|  | Distance |  | Depth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | UUAS | DSpr. | Root\% | NSpr. |
| LINEAR | 48.9 | 0.58 | 2.9 | 0.27 |
| ELMo0 | 26.8 | 0.44 | 54.3 | 0.56 |
| DECAY0 | 51.7 | 0.61 | 54.3 | 0.56 |
| PROJ0 | 59.8 | 0.73 | 64.4 | 0.75 |
| ELMO1 | 77.0 | 0.83 | 86.5 | 0.87 |
| BERTBASE7 | 79.8 | 0.85 | 88.0 | 0.87 |
| BERTLARGE15 | $\mathbf{8 2 . 5}$ | 0.86 | 89.4 | 0.88 |
| BERTLARGE16 | 81.7 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 9}$ |

[SOTA: directed UAS >97\%]

## Examples

## BERTlarge16



Black = gold parse.
Model parses: Maximum Spanning Tree from distances in transformed space.

## Limitations of Large LMs

# Right for the Wrong Reasons: Diagnosing Syntactic Heuristics in Natural Language Inference 

R. Thomas McCoy, ${ }^{1}$ Ellie Pavlick, ${ }^{2}$ \& Tal Linzen ${ }^{1}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Cognitive Science, Johns Hopkins University<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Computer Science, Brown University<br>tom.mccoy@jhu.edu, ellie_pavlick@brown.edu, tal.linzen@jhu.edu

McCoy et al 2019

## Main Idea

- BERT et al do really well on natural language understanding tasks like NLI (natural language inference)
- Do they do so "for the right reasons"?
- In other words:
- Or does solving the existing datasets mean they've solved the task?
- Or can success reflect other features than deep language understanding?

| Heuristic | Premise | Hypothesis | Label |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lexical | The banker near the judge saw the actor. | The banker saw the actor. | E |
| overlap | The lawyer was advised by the actor. | The actor advised the lawyer. | E |
| heuristic | The doctors visited the lawyer. | The lawyer visited the doctors. | N |
|  | The judge by the actor stopped the banker. | The banker stopped the actor. | N |
| Subsequence | The artist and the student called the judge. | The student called the judge. | E |
| heuristic | Angry tourists helped the lawyer. | Tourists helped the lawyer. | E |
|  | The judges heard the actors resigned. | The judges heard the actors. | N |
|  | The senator near the lawyer danced. | The lawyer danced. | N |
| Constituent | Before the actor slept, the senator ran. | The actor slept. | E |
| heuristic | The lawyer knew that the judges shouted. | The judges shouted. | E |
|  | If the actor slept, the judge saw the artist. | The actor slept. | N |
|  | The lawyers resigned, or the artist slept. | The artist slept. | N |

## Results


(performance improves if fine-tuned on this challenge set)

## Word Order in the Large LM Era

- 'Early' demo that neural bag-of-words works well: "Deep Unordered Composition Rivals Syntactic Methods for Text Classification" -2015
- Large (M)LM success is not due to word order (paper):


## Masked Language Modeling and the Distributional Hypothesis: <br> Order Word Matters Pre-training for Little

Koustuv Sinha ${ }^{\dagger \ddagger}$ Robin Jia ${ }^{\dagger}$ Dieuwke Hupkes ${ }^{\dagger}$ Joelle Pineau ${ }^{\dagger \ddagger}$

Adina Williams ${ }^{\dagger}$ Douwe Kiela ${ }^{\dagger}$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Facebook AI Research; ${ }^{\ddagger}$ McGill University / Montreal Institute of Learning Algorithms \{koustuvs, adinawilliams, dkiela\}@fb.com

## Abstract

A possible explanation for the impressive performance of masked language model (MLM) pre-training is that such models have learned to represent the syntactic structures prevalent in classical NLP pipelines. In this paper, we propose a different explanation: MLMs succeed on downstream tasks almost entirely due to their ability to model higher-order word co-occurrence statistics. To demonstrate this, we pre-train MLMs on sentences with randomlv shuffled word order. and show that

NLP pipeline" (Tenney et al., 2019), suggesting that it has learned "the kind of abstractions that we intuitively believe are important for representing natural language" rather than "simply modeling complex co-occurrence statistics" (ibid., p. 1).
In this work, we try to uncover how much of MLM's success comes from simple distributional information, as opposed to "the types of syntactic and semantic abstractions traditionally believed necessary for language processing" (Tenney et al., 2019; Manning et al., 2020). We disentangle these
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## Masked Language Modeling and the Distributional Hypothesis: <br> Order Word Matters Pre-training for Little

Koustuv Sinha ${ }^{\dagger \ddagger}$ Robin Jia ${ }^{\dagger}$ Dieuwke Hupkes ${ }^{\dagger}$ Joelle Pineau ${ }^{\dagger \ddagger}$

Adina Williams ${ }^{\dagger} \quad$ Douwe Kiela $^{\dagger}$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Facebook AI Research; ${ }^{\ddagger}$ McGill University / Montreal Institute of Learning Algorithms \{koustuvs, adinawilliams, dkiela\}@fb.com

## Abstract

A possible explanation for the impressive performance of masked language model (MLM) pre-training is that such models have learned to represent the syntactic structures prevalent in classical NLP pipelines. In this paper, we propose a different explanation: MLMs succeed on downstream tasks almost entirely due to their ability to model higher-order word co-occurrence statistics. To demonstrate this, we pre-train MLMs on sentences with randomlv shuffled word order. and show that

NLP pipeline" (Tenney et al., 2019), suggesting that it has learned "the kind of abstractions that we intuitively believe are important for representing natural language" rather than "simply modeling complex co-occurrence statistics" (ibid., p. 1).
In this work, we try to uncover how much of MLM's success comes from simple distributional information, as opposed to "the types of syntactic and semantic abstractions traditionally believed necessary for language processing" (Tenney et al., 2019; Manning et al., 2020). We disentangle these
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## Abstract

Recent progress in hardware and methodology for training neural networks has ushered in a new generation of large networks trained on abundant data. These models have obtained notable gains in accuracy across many NLP tasks. However, these accuracy improvements depend on the availability of exceptionally large computational resources that necessitate similarly substantial energy consumption. As a result these models are costly to tion. As a result these models are costly to
train and develop, both financially, due to the train and develop, both financially, due to the cost of hardware and electricity or cloud combon footprint required to fuel modern tensor

| Consumption | $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{e}$ (lbs) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Air travel, 1 person, NY $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{SF}$ | 1984 |
| Human life, avg, 1 year | 11,023 |
| American life, avg, 1 year | 36,156 |
| Car, avg incl. fuel, 1 lifetime | 126,000 |
|  |  |
| Training one model (GPU) |  |
| NLP pipeline (parsing, SRL) | 39 |
| w/ tuning \& experiments | 78,468 |
| Transformer (big) | 192 |
| $\quad$ w/ neural arch. search | 626,155 |
| Table 1: Estimated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from training com- |  |
| mon NLP models, compared to familiar consumption. ${ }^{1}$ |  |
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Green AI<br>Roy Schwartz* Jesse Dodge* ${ }^{* \diamond \star}$ Noah A. Smith ${ }^{*}$ Oren Etzioni ${ }^{\diamond}$

$\diamond$ Allen Institute for AI, Seattle, Washington, USA

* Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA
${ }^{\circ}$ University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA
July 2019


## Abstract

The computations required for deep learning research have been doubling every few months, resulting in an estimated $300,000 \mathrm{x}$ increase from 2012 to 2018 [2]. These computations have a surprisingly large carbon footprint [40]. Ironically, deep learning was inspired by the human brain, which is remarkably energy efficient. Moreover, the financial cost of the computations can make it difficult for academics, students, and researchers, in particular those from emerging economies, to engage in deep learning research.

This position paper advocates a practical solution by making efficiency an evaluation criterion for research alongside accuracy and related measures. In addition, we propose reporting the financial cost or "price tag" of developing, training, and running models to provide baselines for the investigation of increasingly efficient methods. Our goal is to make AI both greener and more inclusive-enabling any inspired undergraduate with a laptop to write high-quality research papers. Green AI is an emerging focus at the Allen Institute for AI.

# "Deep" Understanding? 

## Climbing towards NLU

On Meaning, Form, and Understanding in the Age of Data

## Emily M. Bender University of Washington Department of Linguistics

 ebender@uw.edu
## Abstract

The success of the large neural language models on many NLP tasks is exciting. However, we find that these successes sometimes lead o hype in which these models are being described as "understanding" language or capturing "meaning". In this position paper, we argue that a system trained only on form has $a$ priori no way to learn meaning. In keeping with the ACL 2020 theme of "Taking Stock of Where We've Been and Where We're Going", we argue that a clear understanding of the dis-

## Alexander Koller

## Saarland University

Dept. of Language Science and Technology koller@coli.uni-saarland.de
the structure and use of language and the ability to ground it in the world. While large neural LMs may well end up being important components of an eventual full-scale solution to human-analogous NLU, they are not nearly-there solutions to this grand challenge. We argue in this paper that gen uine progress in our field - climbing the right hill not just the hill on whose slope we currently sit depends on maintaining clarity around big picture notions such as meaning and understanding in task design and reporting of experimental results.

## L'Affaire Gebru

- Bender, Gebru, and others' "On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Can Language Models Be Too Big? "
- Environmental + financial costs
- Research opportunity costs
- Datasets so large they are impossible to audit
- Initial media coverage (now many others):
- https://www.nytimes.com/2020/12/03/technology/google-researcher-timnit-gebru.htm
- https://www.technologyreview.com/2020/12/04/1013294/ google-ai-ethics-research-paper-forced-out-timnit-gebru/
- Gebru's new initiative: Distributed AI Research (DAIR)

Google Researcher Says She Was Fired Over Paper Highlighting Bias in A.I.
Timnit Gebru, one of the few Black women in her field, had voiced exasperation over the company's response to efforts to increase minority hiring.

## MIT

Technology
Review

Artificial intelligence / Machine learning

## Summary

- Pre-trained large LMs are very powerful
- Transfer learning from them often leads to very strong performance on NLP tasks
- Why?
- Some evidence of some internal deep processing (esp. syntax)
- Very clever exploitation of spurious correlations in the data
- Drawbacks:
- Costs
- Limited understanding
- Inscrutability


## From LMs to Chatbots

## GPT Assistant training pipeline

| Stage | Pretraining | Supervised Finetuning | Reward Modeling | Reinforcement Learning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dataset | Raw internet <br> text trillions of words low-quality, large quantity | Demonstrations Ideal Assistant responses, ~10-100K (prompt, response) written by contractors low quantity, high quality | Comparisons 100K - 1 M comparisons written by contractors low quantity, high quality | Prompts <br> ~10K-100K prompts written by contractors low quantity, high quality |
|  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | ( $\downarrow$ |
| Algorithm | Language modeling predict the next token | Language modeling predict the next token | Binary classification predict rewards consistent w preferences | Reinforcement Learning generate tokens that maximize the reward |
|  | $\downarrow$ | init from | init from | init from SFT use RM |
| Model | Base model | SFT model | RM model | RL model |
| Notes | 1000s of GPUs months of training ex: GPT, LLaMA, PaLM can deploy this model | 1-100 GPUs days of training ex: Vicuna-13B can deploy this model | 1-100 GPUs days of training | 1-100 GPUs days of training ex: ChatGPT, Claude can deploy this model |

## AMA / General Discussion

## AMA Questions, Models

- In the context of question answering algorithms, what are common or promising approaches for building systems that can answer questions and provide some explanation of how the machine arrived at its answer? I'm thinking of both cases where we want some kind of reasoning chain (x because of $y$ ) and other cases where we wan the machine to not only provide an answer, but also provide some source or set of sources ideally tagged to each corresponding claim in the answer. What are the different elements needed to implement something like this?
- Rigorously assessing natural language explanations: https://arxiv.org/abs/ 2309.10312v1
- Retrieval augmented generation (much follow up now): https://arxiv.org/abs/ 2005.11401


## AMA Questions, Stochastic Parrots

- How much of what the authors raise are issues particular to LLM's vs industries at large? The environmental cost for instance doesn't seem particular to LLM training, but true for just about any energy intensive industry.
- As far as documenting the data sets, this seems reasonable, but what exactly documentation means might be unclear. What is the criteria exactly?
- Data Statements: https://techpolicylab.uw.edu/data-statements/
- Data Sheets: https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.09010
- Dataset Nutrition Label: https://datanutrition.org/labels/


## AMA Questions: Moving Forward

- How to self-study and practice the application of PyTorch and Tensorflow on NLP tasks during the holidays (to prepare for internship interviews)?
- Opportunities within UW/compling to engage in topics related to this class.
- Differences between computational linguistics and NLP in general and with regard to roles in industry.


## Course Recap / Highlights

## Wrapping Up

## Deep Processing

- Building of deep linguistic structures for NLP
- Syntax
- Semantics
- Pragmatics
- Used and useful in many applications, e.g.
- IR/QA/search
- Conversational AI


## Syntax

- Constituency Parsing
- (P)CFGs
- Grammar induction
- Dependency Parsing
- Transition vs. MST based parsers


## CKY Parsing Example

$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow T W A / H o u s t o n$
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$\because P \cdots$ Preposition NP

0

## NP, Pronoun <br> [0, I]

|  | [0,3] | $\begin{aligned} & S \\ & {[0,4]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Verb, VP, s $[1,2]$ | [1,3] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{x} 2, \mathrm{~S} \\ & {[1,4]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | Det $[2,3]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NP} \\ & {[2,4]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Noun, Nom $[3,4]$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Prep |  |
| meal \| money |  |  | [4,5] |  |
| $n \mid T W A$ |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lexicon } \\
& \text { Det } \rightarrow \text { that } \mid \text { this } \mid \text { a } \\
& \text { Noun } \rightarrow \text { book } \mid \text { flight } \mid \text { meal } \mid \text { money } \\
& \text { Pronoun } \rightarrow I \mid \text { she } \mid \text { me } \\
& \text { Proper-Noun } \rightarrow \text { Houston } \mid \text { TWA } \\
& \text { Aux } \rightarrow \text { does } \\
& \text { Preposition } \rightarrow \text { from } \mid \text { to } \mid \text { on } \mid \text { near } \mid \text { through } \\
& \text { Verb } \rightarrow \text { book } \mid \text { include } \mid \text { prefer }
\end{aligned}
$$

1 prefer
I
$a$

2
flight
3

on
4

TWA
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$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
X2 $\rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$\because P \rightarrow$ Preposition NP

0 I


Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
$X 1 \rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
X2 $\rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$ I $\quad$ prefer
0
I

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$ |
0


Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
VP $\rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$\underset{P P \rightarrow \text { Preposition } N P}{\sim}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lexicon } \\
& \text { Det } \rightarrow \text { that } \mid \text { this } \mid a \\
& \text { Noun } \rightarrow \text { book } \mid \text { flight } \mid \text { meal } \mid \text { money } \\
& \text { Pronoun } \rightarrow I \mid \text { she } \mid \text { me } \\
& \text { Proper-Noun } \rightarrow \text { Houston } \mid \text { TWA } \\
& \text { Aux } \rightarrow \text { does } \\
& \text { Preposition } \rightarrow \text { from } \mid \text { to } \mid \text { on } \mid \text { near } \mid \text { through } \\
& \text { Verb } \rightarrow \text { book } \mid \text { include } \mid \text { prefer }
\end{aligned}
$$

0

TWA
5
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$\xrightarrow[P P \rightarrow \text { Preposition } N P]{\sim}$

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer

Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer

0
a flight on
3
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$\xrightarrow[P P \rightarrow \text { Preposition } N P]{\sim-\ldots-\ldots-\ldots-\ldots}$

| NP, <br> Pronoun [0, I] | S <br> [0,2] | [0,3] | S $[0,4]$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verb, VP, s $[1,2]$ | [1,3] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VP, X2, S } \\ & {[1,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [1,5] |  |
|  |  | Det <br> $[2,3]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP } \\ & {[2,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [2,5] |  |
|  |  |  | Noun, Nom $[3,4]$ | [3,5] |  |
| \| this | a ook | flight | meal | money |  |  | Prep $[4,5]$ |  |
| $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid m e$ un $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ <br> es | NA |  |  |  |  |

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer

Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer

0


$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
VP $\rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$\xrightarrow[V P \rightarrow V P P P]{P P \rightarrow \text { Preposition } N F}$

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$\square$
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
VP $\rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer $\stackrel{P-\cdots \rightarrow-\cdots}{\rightarrow}$ Preposition NF
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
$X 1 \rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she $/ \mathrm{me}$
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow \overline{\text { Det Nominal }}$
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
X2 $\rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V$ Verb $P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition NP

| NP | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lexicon } \\
& \text { Det } \rightarrow \text { that } \mid \text { this } \mid \text { a } \\
& \text { Noun } \rightarrow \text { book } \mid \text { flight } \mid \text { meal } \mid \text { money } \\
& \text { Pronoun } \rightarrow I \mid \text { she } \mid \text { me } \\
& \text { Proper-Noun } \rightarrow \text { Houston } \mid \text { TWA } \\
& \text { Aux } \rightarrow \text { does } \\
& \text { Preposition } \rightarrow \text { from } \mid \text { to } \mid \text { on } \mid \text { near } \mid \text { through } \\
& \text { Verb } \rightarrow \text { book } \mid \text { include } \mid \text { prefer }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P P \rightarrow \text { Preposition NP }
$$

0

| S |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[0,2]$ | $[0,3]$ | $[0,4]$ | $[0,5]$ |  |
| Verb, VP, S |  | $\mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{~S}$ |  |  |
| $[1,2]$ | $[1,3]$ | $[1,4]$ | $[1,5]$ |  |
|  | Det | NP |  |  |
|  | $[2,3]$ | $[2,4]$ | $[2,5]$ |  |
|  |  | Noun, Nom |  |  |
|  |  | $[3,4]$ | $[3,5]$ |  |
|  |  |  | $[4,5]$ |  |
| TWoney |  |  |  | NNP, NP |

$[5,6]$
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow T W A / H o u s t o n$
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$

## $P P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$

| NP, <br> Pronoun [0,I] | S <br> [0,2] | [0,3] | S $[0,4]$ | [0,5] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verb, VP, s $[1,2]$ | [1,3] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{x} 2, \mathrm{~S} \\ & {[1,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [1,5] |  |
|  |  | Det $[2,3]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NP} \\ & {[2,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [2,5] |  |
|  |  |  | Noun, Nom $[3,4]$ | [3,5] |  |
|  |  |  |  | Prep | PP |
| at $\mid$ this $\mid a$ ook \| flight | meal | money |  |  | [4,5] | [4,6] |
| $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me un $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ | WA |  |  |  | NNP, NP |
| es |  |  |  |  | [ 5,6$]$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lexicon } \\
& \text { Det } \rightarrow \text { that } \mid \text { this } \mid \text { a } \\
& \text { Noun } \rightarrow \text { book } \mid \text { flight } \mid \text { meal } \mid \text { money } \\
& \text { Pronoun } \rightarrow I \mid \text { she } \mid \text { me } \\
& \text { Proper-Noun } \rightarrow \text { Houston } \mid \text { TWA } \\
& \text { Aux } \rightarrow \text { does } \\
& \text { Preposition } \rightarrow \text { from } \mid \text { to } \mid \text { on } \mid \text { near } \mid \text { through } \\
& \text { Verb } \rightarrow \text { book } \mid \text { include } \mid \text { prefer }
\end{aligned}
$$



$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow T W A / H o u s t o n$
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal | money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V e r b P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$\because P \rightarrow$ Preposition NP

0 I


Aux $\rightarrow$ does

Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow T W A / H o u s t o n$
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$

0 I

| NP, <br> Pronoun [0, I] |  | [0,3] | S | [0,5] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verb, VP, s $[1,2]$ | [1,3] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{~S} \\ & {[1,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [1,5] |  |
|  |  | Det $[2,3]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP } \\ & {[2,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [2,5] |  |
|  |  |  | Noun, Nom $[3,4]$ | [3,5] | Nom $[3,6]$ |
| at this $\mid a$ ook \| flight | meal | money |  |  | Prep $[4,5]$ | $[4,6]$ |
| $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me un $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ <br> es | $W A$ |  |  |  | NNP, NP $[5,6]$ |

Aux $\rightarrow$ does
$[5,6]$

Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
$X 1 \rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me
$N P \rightarrow T W A / H o u s t o n$
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
$\because P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ - 1 prefer
1

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer

flight on TWA

$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{lerb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$ |
0

| NP, <br> Pronoun [0, I] | S $[0,2]$ | [0,3] | S $[0,4]$ | [0,5] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verb, VP, s $[1,2]$ | [1,3] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{~S} \\ & {[1,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [1,5] |  |
|  |  | Det <br> $[2,3]$ | NP $[2,4]$ | [2,5] | $\begin{aligned} & N P \\ & {[2,6]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Noun, Nom $[3,4]$ | [3,5] | Nom $[3,6]$ |
| at this \| $a$ ook \| flight | meal | money |  |  | Prep $[4,5]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PP } \\ & {[4,6]} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid m e$ un $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ es | $W A$ |  |  |  | NNP, NP $[5,6]$ |

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid a$
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer


TWA

$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
X2 $\rightarrow$ Verb NP
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$ |
0 I

| $N P$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |


| NP, <br> Pronoun [0, I] | S [0,2] | [0,3] | S $[0,4]$ | [0,5] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verb, VP, S $[1,2]$ | [1,3] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~S} \\ & {[1,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [1,5] |  |
|  |  | Det $[2,3]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP } \\ & {[2,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [2,5] | $[2,6]$ |
|  |  |  | Noun, Nom $[3,4]$ | [3,5] | Nom $[3,6]$ |
| \| this $\mid$ a ook \| flight | meal | money |  |  | Prep $[4,5]$ | $\begin{aligned} & P P \\ & {[4,6]} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow I \mid \text { she } \mid \text { me } \\ & \text { un } \rightarrow \text { Houston } \mid \\ & \text { es } \end{aligned}$ | TWA |  |  |  | NNP, NP $[5,6]$ |

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid a$
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$\square$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
$X 1 \rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
X2 $\rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer

| NP, Pronoun [0, I] | $\begin{gathered} s \\ {[0,2]} \end{gathered}$ | [0,3] | $\begin{gathered} S \\ {[0,4]} \end{gathered}$ | [0,5] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verb, VP, S [1,2] | [1,3] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{~S} \\ {[1,4]} \end{gathered}$ | [1,5] | [1,6] |
|  |  | Det $[2,3]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP } \\ & {[2,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [2,5] | NP $[2,6]$ |
|  |  |  | Noun, Nom $[3,4]$ | [3,5] | Nom $[3,6]$ |
| this \| $a$ <br> \| flight $\mid$ meal | money |  |  | Prep $[4,5]$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PP } \\ {[4,6]} \end{gathered}$ |
| \| she | me <br> $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ | WA |  |  |  | NNP, NP $[5,6]$ |

$\xrightarrow[P P \rightarrow \text { Preposition } N P]{\sim P}$
0
flight
on
TWA

$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow$ X2 $P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow T W A / H o u s t o n$
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
$[5,6]$
$X 2 \rightarrow V e r b N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer



0

| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer

$X 2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{lerb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer


| prefer | a |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$$
S \rightarrow V P P P
$$

$$
N P \rightarrow I / \text { she / me }
$$

$$
N P \rightarrow T W A ~ / ~ H o u s t o n
$$

$$
N P \rightarrow \text { Det Nominal }
$$

$$
\text { Nominal } \rightarrow \text { book } / \text { flight } / \text { meal } / \text { money }
$$

$$
\text { Nominal } \rightarrow \text { Nominal Noun }
$$

$$
\text { Nominal } \rightarrow \text { Nominal PP }
$$

$$
V P \rightarrow \text { book / include / prefer }
$$

$$
\text { X2 } \rightarrow \text { Verb } N P
$$

$$
V P \rightarrow V P P P
$$

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP, } \\ & \text { Pronoun } \\ & {[0,1]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ {[0,2]} \end{gathered}$ | [0,3] | $\begin{gathered} S \\ {[0,4]} \end{gathered}$ | [0,5] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Verb, VP, S <br> [1,2] |  | [1,3] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{VP}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{~S} \\ {[1,4]} \end{gathered}$ | [1,5] | $\begin{gathered} V P, \times 2, S \\ {[1,6]} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Det } \\ & {[2,3]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NP} \\ & {[2,4]} \end{aligned}$ | [2,5] | NP <br> [2,6] |
|  |  |  | Noun, Nom $[3,4]$ | [3,5] | Nom <br> [3,6] |
| \| this | $a$ <br> \| flight | meal | money <br> $I \mid$ she \| me <br> $\rightarrow$ Houston \| TWA |  |  |  | Prep <br> [4,5] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PP} \\ & {[4,6]} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NNP, NP $[5,6]$ |

Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money

$$
V P \rightarrow \text { Verb } N P
$$

Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me

$$
V P \rightarrow X 2 P P
$$

Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA

$$
V P \rightarrow \text { Verb } P P
$$

Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$\square$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$

0
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
$\because P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$\because P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$

0

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid a$
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$[5,6]$
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
VP $\rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$

0

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid a$
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$[5,6]$
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar
$S \rightarrow N P V P$
$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal $P P$
VP $\rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow V \operatorname{Verb} P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
$P P \rightarrow$ Preposition NP

0

Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid a$
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer
$[5,6]$
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer





$S \rightarrow X 1 V P$
$X 1 \rightarrow$ Aux NP
$S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$S \rightarrow$ Verb NP
$S \rightarrow$ X2 $P P$
$S \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$
$S \rightarrow V P P P$
$N P \rightarrow I \mid$ she | me
$N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston
$N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal
Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun
Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP
$V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} N P$
$V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$
$X 2 \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$
$V P \rightarrow \operatorname{Verb} P P$
$V P \rightarrow V P P P$
Lexicon
Det $\rightarrow$ that $\mid$ this $\mid$ a
Noun $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ flight $\mid$ meal $\mid$ money
Pronoun $\rightarrow I \mid$ she $\mid$ me
Proper-Noun $\rightarrow$ Houston $\mid$ TWA
Aux $\rightarrow$ does
Preposition $\rightarrow$ from $\mid$ to $\mid$ on $\mid$ near $\mid$ through
Verb $\rightarrow$ book $\mid$ include $\mid$ prefer

$\square$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ Grammar |  | NP | S |  | S |  | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S \rightarrow N P V P$ |  | Pronoun |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S \rightarrow X 1 \mathrm{VP}$ |  | [0, I] | [0,2] | [0,3] | [0,4] | [0,5] | [0,6] |
| X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP |  |  | Verb, VP, s |  | $\mathbf{V P}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}$ |  | VP, X2, S |
| $S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S \rightarrow$ Verb NP |  |  | [1,2] | [1,3] | [1,4] | [1,5] | [1,6] |
| $S \rightarrow X 2 P P$ |  |  |  | Det | NP |  | NP |
| $S \rightarrow$ Verb PP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S \rightarrow V P P P$ |  |  |  | [2,3] | [2,4] | [2,5] | [2,6] |
| $N P \rightarrow I / s h e / m e$ |  |  |  |  | Noun, Nom |  | Nom |
| $N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston |  |  |  |  | Nouns Nom |  | Nom |
| $N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal |  |  |  |  | [3,4] | [3,5] | [3,6] |
| Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money |  |  |  |  |  |  | PP |
| Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP |  | this \| a |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Nominal } \rightarrow \text { Nominal PP }}$ |  | \| flight | $m$ | \| money |  |  | [4,5] | [4,6] |
| $V P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer <br> $V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$ |  | \| she | me |  |  |  |  | NNP, NP |
| $V P \rightarrow$ Verb $N P$ $V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$ | No | $\rightarrow$ Houston | WA |  |  |  |  |
| X2 $\rightarrow$ Verb NP | do |  |  |  |  |  | [5,6] |
| $V P \rightarrow \text { Verb } P P$ |  | from \| to $\mid$ include $\mid$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a near } \mid \text { throu } \\ & \text { er } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $V P \rightarrow V P P P$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $P P \rightarrow$ Preposition NP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \| |  | $a$ | flight |  | TW |  |  |
| 0 I | 2 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |



| $\chi_{1}$ Grammar |  | NP, | S |  | S |  | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S \rightarrow N P V P$ |  | Pronoun |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S \rightarrow X 1 \mathrm{VP}$ |  | [0, I] | [0,2] | [0,3] | [0,4] | [0,5] | $[0,6]$ |
| X1 $\rightarrow$ Aux NP |  |  | Verb, VP, s |  | VP, ${ }^{\text {2 }}$, s |  | VP, $\times 2, \mathrm{~S}$ |
| $S \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer |  |  |  |  |  |  | - 0 , ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |
| $S \rightarrow$ Verb NP |  |  | [1,2] | [1,3] | [1,4] | [1,5] | [ 1,6 ] |
| $S \rightarrow X 2 P P$ |  |  |  | Det | NP |  | NP |
| $S \rightarrow$ Verb PP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S \rightarrow V P P P$ |  |  |  | [2,3] | [2,4] | [2,5] | [2,6] |
| $N P \rightarrow I /$ she / me |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nom |
| $N P \rightarrow$ TWA / Houston |  |  |  |  | Noun, Nom |  | Nom |
| $N P \rightarrow$ Det Nominal |  |  |  |  | [3,4] | [3,5] | [3,6] |
| Nominal $\rightarrow$ book / flight / meal / money |  |  |  |  |  |  | PP |
| Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal Noun <br> Nominal $\rightarrow$ Nominal PP | h | this \| a |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots P \rightarrow$ book / include / prefer |  | \| flight | m | money |  |  | [4,5] | [4,6] |
| $V P \rightarrow$ Verb NP | - | $\mid$ she \| me |  |  |  |  | NNP, NP |
| $V P \rightarrow X 2 P P$ | do | $\rightarrow$ Houston |  |  |  |  | [5,6] |
| $\mathrm{X2} \rightarrow$ Verb NP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $V P \rightarrow$ Verb $P P$ |  | include $\mid$ | $e r$ |  |  |  |  |
| $V P \rightarrow V P P P$ <br> $\dddot{P P} \rightarrow$ Preposition $N P$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - \| |  | $a$ | flight |  | TW |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |

## Maximum Spanning Tree

- McDonald et al, 2005 use variant of Chu-Liu-Edmonds algorithm for MST (CLE)
- Sketch of algorithm:
- For each node, greedily select incoming arc with max weight
- If the resulting set of arcs forms a tree, this is the MST.
- If not, there must be a cycle.
- "Contract" the cycle: Treat it as a single vertex
- Recalculate weights into/out of the new vertex
- Recursively do MST algorithm on resulting graph
- Running time: naïve: O(n3); Tarjan: O(n2)

- Applicable to non-projective graphs


## Step 1 \& 2

- Find, for each word, the highest scoring incoming edge.
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## Step 1 \& 2

- Find, for each word, the highest scoring incoming edge.
- Is it a tree?
- No, there's a cycle.


## ROOT



## Step 1 \& 2

- Find, for each word, the highest scoring incoming edge.
- Is it a tree?


## ROOT

- No, there's a cycle.
- Collapse the cycle



## Step 1 \& 2

- Find, for each word, the highest scoring incoming edge.
- Is it a tree?
- No, there's a cycle.
- Collapse the cycle
- And re-examine the edges again



## Calculating Weights for Collapsed Vertex

- Since there's a cycle:
- Contract cycle \& reweight

$$
s(\text { Mary, C ) II + } 20=31
$$

- John+saw as single vertex
- Calculate weights in \& out as:
- Recurse



## Calculating Weights for Collapsed Vertex

- Since there's a cycle:
- Contract cycle \& reweight
- John+saw as single vertex
- Calculate weights in \& out as:
- Recurse

$$
s(\text { Mary, C })\|I+20=3\|
$$



## Calculating Weights for Collapsed Vertex

- Since there's a cycle:
- Contract cycle \& reweight
- John+saw as single vertex
- Calculate weights in \& out as:
- Recurse

$$
s(\text { ROOT, C }) 10+30=40
$$



## Calculating Weights for Collapsed Vertex

- Since there's a cycle:
- Contract cycle \& reweight
- John+saw as single vertex
- Calculate weights in \& out as:
- Recurse
$s($ ROOT, C $) 10+30=40$



## Step 3

- With cycle collapsed, recurse on step 1:
- Keep highest weighted incoming edge for each edge
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- Is it a tree?
- Yes!



## Step 3

- With cycle collapsed, recurse on step 1:
- Keep highest weighted incoming edge for each edge воот
- Is it a tree?
- Yes!



## Step 3

- With cycle collapsed, recurse on step 1:
- Keep highest weighted incoming edge for each edge rоот
- Is it a tree?
- Yes!
- ...but must recover collapsed portions.



## Semantics

- First order logic + lambda calculus
- Neo-Davidsonian event semantics
- Parsing via features
- Distributional Semantics + word embeddings
- Word Sense Disambiguation
- Semantic Role Labeling


$$
\begin{aligned}
& N P \rightarrow \text { Det.sem(NP.sem) } \\
& \text { Every } \\
& \text { Noun } \\
& \{\lambda y . F \operatorname{light}(y)\} \\
& \text { flight } \\
& \text { arrived }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N P & \rightarrow \text { Det.sem }(\text { NP.sem }) \\
\lambda P \cdot \lambda Q . \forall x P(x) & \Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda y . F l i g h t(y))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N P & \rightarrow \text { Det.sem(NP.sem) } \\
\lambda P . \lambda Q . \forall x P(x) & \Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda y . F l i g h t(y))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NP } \rightarrow \text { Det.sem(NP.sem) } \\
& \lambda P \cdot \lambda Q \cdot \forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda y \cdot F l i g h t(y)) \quad S \\
& \lambda Q . \forall x \lambda y . F l i g h t(y)(x) \Rightarrow Q(x) \quad\{N P . s e m(V P . s e m)\} \\
& \lambda Q . \forall x F l i g h t(x) \quad \Rightarrow Q(x) \\
& \text { NP } \\
& \{\operatorname{Det} . \operatorname{sem}(N P . s e m)\} \\
& \text { Every } \\
& \text { Noun } \\
& \{\lambda y . F \operatorname{light}(y)\} \\
& \text { flight } \\
& \text { arrived }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N P \rightarrow \operatorname{Det.sem}(\text { NP.sem }) \\
& \lambda P . \lambda Q . \forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda y . \operatorname{Flight}(y)) \\
& \lambda Q . \forall x \lambda y . F l i g h t(y)(x) \Rightarrow Q(x) \\
& \lambda Q . \forall x F l i g h t(x) \Rightarrow Q(x) \\
&\{\lambda Q . \forall x F \operatorname{light}(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\}
\end{aligned}
$$






$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S } \\
& \{\forall x F \operatorname{light}(x) \Rightarrow \exists \operatorname{Arrived}(e) \wedge \operatorname{ArrivedThing}(e, x)\} \\
& \{\lambda Q . \forall x F \operatorname{light}(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)\} \\
& \{\lambda z . \exists e \operatorname{Arrived}(e) \wedge \operatorname{Arrived} \operatorname{Thing}(e, z)\} \\
& \lambda Q . \forall x \text { Flight }(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda z . \exists \text { eArrived }(e) \wedge \operatorname{ArrivedThing}(e, z))
\end{aligned}
$$

## S

$\{\forall x F \operatorname{light}(x) \Rightarrow \exists \operatorname{Arrived}(e) \wedge \operatorname{ArrivedThing}(e, x)\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda Q \cdot \forall x F l i g h t(x) & \Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda z . \exists \operatorname{Arrived}(e) \wedge \operatorname{ArrivedThing}(e, z)) \\
\forall x F l i g h t(x) & \Rightarrow \lambda z . \exists e \operatorname{Arrived}(e) \wedge \operatorname{ArrivedThing}(e, z)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## S

$\{\forall x F \operatorname{light}(x) \Rightarrow \exists \operatorname{Arrived}(e) \wedge \operatorname{ArrivedThing}(e, x)\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda Q \cdot \forall x F l i g h t(x) & \Rightarrow Q(x)(\lambda z . \exists e \operatorname{Arrived}(e) \wedge \operatorname{ArrivedThing}(e, z)) \\
\forall x F l i g h t(x) & \Rightarrow \lambda z . \exists e \operatorname{Arrived}(e) \wedge \operatorname{ArrivedThing}(e, z)(x) \\
\forall x F l i g h t(x) & \Rightarrow \exists e \operatorname{Arrived}(e) \wedge \operatorname{ArrivedThing}(e, x)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Word Vectors



## Pragmatics

- Discourse phenomena
- Coreference resolution [esp. pronominal]
- Hobbs' Algorithm
- Segmentation / Cohesion
- Discourse parsing: hierarchical structure of coherence relations
- PDTB discourse parsing


## Summary

- Deep Processing techniques for NLP
- Parsing, semantic analysis, logical forms, reference, etc
- Create richer computational models of natural language
- Closer to language understanding
- Shallow processing techniques have dominated many areas
- IR, QA, MT, WSD, etc
- More computationally tractable, fewer required resources
- Deep processing techniques experience resurgence
- Some big wins - e.g. QA
- Improved resources: treebanks (syntactic/discourse, FrameNet, Propbank)
- Improved learning algorithms: structured learners, neural nets
- Increased computation: cloud resources, Grid, etc
- Current goal: leveraging these resources to do deep processing [e.g. semi-supervised learning]


## Open Floor for Discussion

## Thank you!

Course evaluations:
https://uw.iasystem.org/survey/279980

